

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,823	12/03/2003	James Bermard Cooper	C4284(C)	5512	
-**	7590 03/21/200 TELLECTUAL PROF	EXAM	EXAMINER		
700 SYLVAN	•	BOYER, CHARLES I			
BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		
	·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/21/2007	PAF	ER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			7			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/726,823	COOPER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	^·····			
	Charles I. Boyer	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a limit will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONE, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	anuary 2007.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-13 and 18-58</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	- ' '					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2;4,5,7-13 and 18-58</u> is/are rejecte	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•	,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority arider do 0.0.0.	3 (10(a) (a) 01 (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2007031	6			

Application/Control Number: 10/726,823

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment and response received January 9, 2007. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-13, and 18-58 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-37, and 40-58 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hunter et al, US 6,939,842 is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

The rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-12, 30-32, and 34-48 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barnabas et al, US 7,012,053 is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-13, and 18-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al, US 6,939,842.

Hunter et al teach a laundry treatment composition comprising a silicone and a substituted polysaccharide (see abstract). An example of such a composition is an emulsion comprising nonionic surfactant, polydimethylsiloxane, and silicone substituted polysaccharide (col. 27, example 1). Another example is an emulsion comprising nonionic surfactant, anionic surfactant, phosphate and carbonate builders, aminosilicone, and silicone substituted polysaccharide (col. 28, example 2). Note that the silicones of the invention comprise polydialkyl siloxanes, amino siloxanes, and mixtures thereof, and may be present in preferred amounts between 0.1 to 5% (col. 4, lines 2-8 and col. 34, claim 10). The aminosiloxanes will have a viscosity between 100 to 200 cst and the alkylsiloxanes will have a viscosity greater than 10,000 cst (col. 4, lines 24-63). The reference does not teach the specific combination of silicone and viscosity modifying agent in the presently claimed proportions, however, as mixtures of aminosilicones and polydimethyl siloxane are contemplated by the reference, the

examiner maintains it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate such a mixture and so render obvious the claims at hand.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on 571 272 1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751